

GFP Enterprises, Inc.
PO Box 639/307 Sisters Parkway
Sisters, OR 97759

Mr. Pollard,

I have been in the National Guard for the past 22 years, I have supported numerous hurricane seasons. This season I was assigned to the Cameron Parish Emergency Operations Center (EOC). While there, I spent nights on everything from the ground to an air mattress, and usually without shelter.

Realizing the need for a static base camp with some level of amenities, the EOC requested that a contract be awarded for a company to supply shelter, food, laundry, and personal hygiene for relief personnel. I arrived at their selected site shortly before the camp was established, and I saw it built from the ground up. As you are aware, the locations for a camp of that size were scarce, and although the site selected for you was sizeable, it was also a sponge.

Under very strict time schedules, your company was able to set up tents and bring in services to an area that was also being prepped at the same time. While you were building in one area, we were attempting to spread rock in another. When the weather turned foul, your team worked through the night to move tents to areas that were less affected by the rain and wind. The location of Camp Cameron was by far not an ideal location, but given that that was all you had to work with, your company turned a marsh into home for a hundreds of recovery workers.

The food preparation sub-contractor was superb in any environment. Their meal selections were many and the preparation was professional. The laundry services had a very quick turn-around time, and the personal hygiene facilities were always maintained.

Additionally, you provided other comforts as well, to include internet service. Volunteers arrived to assist the parish with their recovery, but fulfilled needs around the camp as well (grief counselors, massage therapists, etc.), and you assisted their efforts by providing them temporary tent space to work out of.

Your company has had a significant impact on the recovery of the Cameron Parish area. I am grateful to you and your staff, and I am proud to have worked with such a dedicated organization.

Respectfully,



Chief Master Sergeant John M. Harris
Louisiana State Command Chief
Louisiana Air National Guard

HSFEEM-06-R-0020
Base Camp Support Services
Past Performance Questionnaire

Your Name and Title: JOHN HARRIS
 Your Organization: LOUISIANA AIR NATIONAL GUARD
 Your Telephone Number/fax Number: 225 303 1648
 Your Email Address: JOHN.HARRIS@LANEWO,ANG.AF.MIL
 Contractors Name and Address: GFP Enterprises, Inc., PO BOX 639, Sisters OR 97759
 Contract Number: HSFE06-06-P8112
 Contract Value (Base Plus Options):
 Contract Award Date: 10/04/2005
 Contract Completion Date: 11/11/2005
 Type of Contract: Service Contract
 Customer Contracting Officer's Name and Telephone:

Description of Requirement Being Evaluated: All supplies and services for a fully operational base camp in direct support of disaster relief efforts (300 capacity Base Camp in Cameron, LA.

Rating Categories: N-Not Rated 1-Unsatisfactory 2-Marginal 3-Fair 4-Good 5-Excellent
SEE ATTACHMENT FOR DEFINITION OF RATING CATEGORIES [Page 3]

To indicate your selected rating highlight or **bold and underline** your selected rating for each question

1	Quality	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5
	a. Your assessment of the quality of GFP's performance.		N	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5
	b. GFP's compliance with contract requirements and established procedures and protocols.		<u>N</u>	1	2	3	4	5
	c. Was the contract performed in a safe and environmentally responsible manner.		N	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5
	d. Overall effectiveness of the safety, environmental, and quality assurance / control programs.		N	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5
2	Timeliness of Performance	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5
	a. The effectiveness of GFP's ability to meet milestones used to achieve performance.		N	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	5
	b. GFP's responsiveness to technical direction.		N	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
	c. Was the contract completed on time?		<u>N</u>	1	2	3	4	5
3	Cost Control	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5
	a. Effectiveness of the cost and schedule control systems.		<u>N</u>	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Was the relationship of negotiated costs to actual costs realistic?		<u>N</u>	1	2	3	4	5
	c. Did GFP control cost overruns?		N	1	2	3	4	5
	d. Were billings current, accurate, and complete?		N	1	2	3	4	5
	e. Ability to mitigate cost growth in new work or changes.		N	1	2	3	4	5

4	Staffing	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5					
	a. GFP's ability to hire and retain personnel necessary to perform the contract.							N	1	2	3	4	5
	b. The extent to which personnel proposed for contract were actually employed to perform your contract efforts.							N	1	2	3	4	5
5	Subcontract Management	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5					
	a. Management of subcontracting plan.							N	1	2	3	4	5
	b. The extent subcontracting goals were met.							N	1	2	3	4	5
	c. Was effective management and corporate oversight demonstrated?							N	1	2	3	4	5
6	Business Relations	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5					
	a. GFP / Owner relations during contract performance.							N	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Would you recommend the award of similar contracts in the future?							Yes		No			
	Please Explain your answer to 6 b: SEE BELOW												
7	Additional Relevant Data	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5					
	a. Has GFP been issued any type of performance warning such as a cure notice or a show cause letter?							Yes		No			
	b. Have they been terminated for default by your Organization or another organization that you are aware of?							Yes		No			
	c. If an award fee was used in this contract, what percentage of the available award fee pool was earned?							_____ %					
Additional Comments regarding GFP Enterprises performance: THE COMPANY DID GREAT THINGS UNDER THE WORST OF CONDITIONS. HIGHLY RECOMMENDED!													

Printed Name: JOHN M. HARRIS

Signature: *John M. Harris*

Date: 18 / APR / 06