

**HSFEEM-06-R-0020
Base Camp Support Services
Past Performance Questionnaire**

Your Name and Title: MICHAEL G. BAKER MISSION LIAISON
 Your Organization: U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 Your Telephone Number/fax Number: 814-414-1826
 Your Email Address: michael.g.baker2@us.army.mil
 Contractors Name and Address: GFP Enterprises, Inc., PO BOX 639, Sisters OR 97759
 Contract Number: HSFE06-06-P8180
 Contract Value (Base Plus Options):
 Contract Award Date: 11/12/2005
 Contract Completion Date: 12/21/2005
 Type of Contract: Service Contract
 Customer Contracting Officer's Name and Telephone: Abby Archer (GSA) 817-978-8737

Description of Requirement Being Evaluated: All supplies and services for a fully operational base camp in direct support of disaster relief efforts (300 capacity Base Camp in Cameron, LA.

Rating Categories: N-Not Rated 1-Unsatisfactory 2-Marginal 3-Fair 4-Good 5-Excellent
SEE ATTACHMENT FOR DEFINITION OF RATING CATEGORIES [Page 3]

To indicate your selected rating highlight or **bold and underline** your selected rating for each question

			N	1	2	3	4	5
1	Quality	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5
	a. Your assessment of the quality of GFP's performance.		N	1	2	3	4	5
	b. GFP's compliance with contract requirements and established procedures and protocols.		N	1	2	3	4	5
	c. Was the contract performed in a safe and environmentally responsible manner.		N	1	2	3	4	5
	d. Overall effectiveness of the safety, environmental, and quality assurance / control programs.		N	1	2	3	4	5
2	Timeliness of Performance	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5
	a. The effectiveness of GFP's ability to meet milestones used to achieve performance.		N	1	2	3	4	5
	b. GFP's responsiveness to technical direction.		N	1	2	3	4	5
	c. Was the contract completed on time?		N	1	2	3	4	5
3	Cost Control	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5
	a. Effectiveness of the cost and schedule control systems.		N	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Was the relationship of negotiated costs to actual costs realistic?		N	1	2	3	4	5
	c. Did GFP control cost overruns?		N	1	2	3	4	5
	d. Were billings current, accurate, and complete?		N	1	2	3	4	5
	e. Ability to mitigate cost growth in new work or changes.		N	1	2	3	4	5

4	Staffing	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5					
	a. GFP's ability to hire and retain personnel necessary to perform the contract.							N	1	2	3	4	5
	b. The extent to which personnel proposed for contract were actually employed to perform your contract efforts.							N	1	2	3	4	5
5	Subcontract Management	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5					
	a. Management of subcontracting plan.							N	1	2	3	4	5
	b. The extent subcontracting goals were met.							N	1	2	3	4	5
	c. Was effective management and corporate oversight demonstrated?							N	1	2	3	4	5
6	Business Relations	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5					
	a. GFP / Owner relations during contract performance.							N	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Would you recommend the award of similar contracts in the future?							<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes		<input type="radio"/> No			
	Please Explain your answer to 6 b: CAMP CAMERON WAS OPERATED AND MANAGED VERY WELL												
7	Additional Relevant Data	Overall Rating	N	1	2	3	4	5					
	a. Has GFP been issued any type of performance warning such as a cure notice or a show cause letter?							Yes		No			
	b. Have they been terminated for default by your Organization or another organization that you are aware of?							Yes		<input checked="" type="radio"/> No			
	c. If an award fee was used in this contract, what percentage of the available award fee pool was earned?							_____ %					
Additional Comments regarding GFP Enterprises performance: I WAS NOT INVOLVED WITH THE CONTRACTURAL ASPECTS HOWEVER IT DID OBSERVE THE OPERATIONS ON A DAILY BASIS. THE CAMP WAS RUN AND MANAGED EXTREMELY WELL. A LOT OF TIME, EFFORT, AND HARD WORK WAS EXPENDED TO MAKE CAMP CAMERON A SAFE AND COMFORTABLE PLACE FOR THE HURRICANE RECOVERY WORKERS. OVERALL PERFORMANCE FOR MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS FOR CAMP CAMERON IS EXCELLENT.													

Printed Name: MICHAEL G. BAKER

Signature: Michael G Baker Date: / /